arXiv:0903.4958v5 [math.CA] 12 Jun 2009

ON GENERALIZED HILBERT MATRICES

RUIMING ZHANG

Abstract. In this note, we present a systematic method to explicitly compute the determinants and inverses for some generalized Hilbert matrices associated with orthogonal systems with explicit representations. We expressed the determinant, the inverse and a lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of such matrix in terms of the orthogonal system.

1. Introduction

The Hilbert matrices are the moment matrices associated with Legendre polynomials. The generalized Hilbert matrices are the generalized moment matrices associated with certain orthogonal systems. It may be interesting to find the exact formulas for their determinants and inverses. In this note we provide a systematic method from the theory of orthogonal polynomials to find these formulas through the explicit representations of their related orthogonal systems. We will demonstrate that, once the orthogonal system is explicitly known, then the inverse and determinant of each generalized moment matrix are known explicitly. Furthermore, we also know a lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue. Since an orthogonal system may be derived in many different ways, this method could be very handy. We also present four examples to show how to apply this method in various situations.

2. Main Results

Let E be a complex inner product space with a sequence of linearly independent vectors $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. For each nonnegative integer n, the following matrix is positive definite,

$$G_n = (m_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n},$$

where

$$m_{j,k} = (u_j, u_k).$$

There is a unique orthonormal system $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with p_n having positive leading coefficient in u_n, which could be found through the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A09; Secondary 33D45.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal Polynomials; Hilbert matrices; Determinants; Inverse Matrices; Smallest eigenvalue.

process. Each p_n is given explicitly by [2]

$$p_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G_n \det G_{n-1}}} \det \begin{pmatrix} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & m_{0,2} & \dots & m_{0,n} \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & \dots & m_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n-1,0} & m_{n-1,1} & m_{n-1,2} & \dots & m_{n-1,n} \\ u_0 & u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly,

$$p_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n,j} u_j, \quad a_{n,n} = \sqrt{\frac{\det G_{n-1}}{\det G_n}}.$$

Thus,

$$\det G_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{j,j}^{-2}.$$

Let $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be another sequence of vectors in E related to $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in the following way,

$$v_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{n,j} u_j, \quad c_{n,n} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

then, they are also linearly independent. Let us define

$$H_n = (I_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}, \quad I_{j,k} = (u_j, v_k),$$

then

$$H_n = G_n C_n^*,$$

where C_n^* is the Hermitian conjugate of C_n and

$$C_n = (c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n},$$

with

$$c_{j,k} = 0, \quad k > j.$$

Thus,

$$\det H_n = \det G_n \prod_{j=0}^{n} \overline{c_{j,j}}.$$

Let

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k} v_k,$$

and

$$A_n = (a_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}, \quad B_n = (b_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n},$$

where we follow the same convention as above,

$$a_{j,k} = b_{j,k} = 0, \quad k > j.$$

Evidently, both A_n and B_n are invertible. Let

$$A_n^{-1} = (s_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}, \quad B_n^{-1} = (t_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n},$$

then,

$$u_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} s_{j,\ell} p_\ell, \quad v_k = \sum_{m=0}^{n} t_{k,m} p_m,$$

and

$$I_{j,k} = (u_j, v_k) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} s_{j,m} \overline{t_{k,m}}.$$

Thus,

$$H_n = A_n^{-1} (B_n^{-1})^* = A_n^{-1} \left(B_n^*\right)^{-1},$$

which gives

$$H_n^{-1} = B_n^* A_n.$$

We summarize our above discussion as the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *For each nonnegative integer n, assume that G_n, H_n, A_n, B_n and C_n as defined above, then,*

$$H_n = G_n C_n^*, \quad H_n^{-1} = B_n^* A_n.$$

$$\det G_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{j,j}^{-2}, \ \det C_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_{j,j}, \ \det H_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\overline{c_{j,j}}}{a_{j,j}^2}$$

where A_n^* is the Hermitian conjugate of A_n.

Notice that

$$H_n^{-1} := \left(\gamma_{j,k}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n}$$

with

$$\gamma_{j,k} = \sum_{\ell=\max(j,k)}^{n} \overline{b_{\ell,j}} a_{\ell,k}.$$

From the expressions of $\det G_n$ and $\gamma_{j,k}$, we observe that in the case $u_k = v_k$, the requirement that $a_{k,k} > 0$ could be disregarded in the actual computations, for, if we replace the pair $\{u_k, v_k\}$ by $\{\epsilon_k u_k, \epsilon_k v_k\}$ with $|\epsilon_k| = 1$ we won't change H_n. In some situations, the entries for G_n are too complicated to be useful. This is the reason we won't compute G_n for the Askey-Wilson polynomials, we compute H_n instead. But G_n could be recovered easily via

$$G_n = H_n \left(C_n^*\right)^{-1}, \quad \det G_n = \frac{\det H_n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} \overline{c_{j,j}}}.$$

Let λ_s be the smallest eigenvalue of G_n , then $\frac{1}{\lambda_s}$ is the largest eigenvalue of G_n^{-1}. Since G_n^{-1} is positive definite, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_s} = \left\|G_n^{-1}\right\|_2 \le \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \left|\rho_{i,j}\right|^2}$$

with

$$G_n^{-1} = \left(\rho_{i,j}\right)_{i,j=0}^{n},$$

and

$$\rho_{j,k} = \sum_{\ell=\max(j,k)}^{n} \overline{a_{\ell,j}} a_{\ell,k}.$$

Hence,

$$|\rho_{j,k}|^2 \leq \sum_{\ell=j}^{n} |a_{\ell,j}|^2 \sum_{\ell=k}^{n} |a_{\ell,k}|^2 ,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_s} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=j}^{n} |a_{\ell,j}|^2 .$$

Another lower bound could be found by considering the $||\cdot||_\infty$. Let $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)^T$ be an eigenvector of G_n^{-1} corresponding to λ_s^{-1}, then we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_s} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{0,0} & \cdots & \rho_{0,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho_{n,0} & \cdots & \rho_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{0,k} x_k \\ \vdots \\ \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{n,k} x_k \end{pmatrix},$$

then,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_s} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{0,k} x_k \\ \vdots \\ \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho_{n,k} x_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\rho_{j,k}| \right\} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty .$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\rho_{j,k}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} |a_{\ell,j}| \, |a_{\ell,k}| = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} |a_{\ell,j}| \sum_{k=0}^{n} |a_{\ell,k}| ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{0\le j\le n}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}|\rho_{j,k}|\right\} &\le \max_{0\le j\le n}\left\{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}|a_{\ell,j}|\sum_{k=0}^{n}|a_{\ell,k}|\right\}\\
&\le \sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\max_{0\le j\le n}\{|a_{\ell,j}|\}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|a_{\ell,k}|\\
&\le \sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n}|a_{\ell,j}|\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{n}|a_{\ell,k}|\right\}\\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}|a_{\ell,j}|\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}|a_{\ell,k}|\right\}\\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}|a_{\ell,j}|\right\}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *For each nonnegative integer n, assume that G_n and A_n, as defined above, then,*

$$\lambda_s \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}|a_{\ell,j}|^2}, \frac{1}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}|a_{\ell,j}|\right\}^2}\right\},$$

where λ_s is the smallest eigenvalue of G_n.

The second lower bound is particular interesting when the generalized orthogonal system are certain orthogonal polynomials, since it could be expressed in terms of the orthonormal polynomials.

Corollary 3. *Let*

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k}x^k, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

be the orthonormal polynomials and m_n be the n-th power moment with respect to a probability measure $d\mu(x)$. If there is a complex number z_0 with $|z_0| = 1$ such that all of

$$a_{n,k}z_0^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

are of the same sign, then the smallest eigenvalue λ_s of the matrix

$$G_n = (m_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^{n}$$

has a lower bond

$$\lambda_s \ge \frac{1}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}|p_\ell(z_0)|^2}.$$

The first observation for the last corollary is that it seems such z_0 always exits. For the symmetric orthogonal polynomials, from the three term recurrence we see that $z_0 = i$. But we don't know how to prove this for general cases. The second observation is that if all the polynomials are real, then the special case of Christoffel-Darboux formula gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} |p_m(z_0)|^2 = \frac{a_{n,n}\left\{p'_{n+1}(z_0)p_n(z_0) - p'_n(z_0)p_{n+1}(z_0)\right\}}{a_{n+1,n+1}},$$

which gives us

$$\lambda_s \geq \frac{a_{n+1,n+1}}{a_{n,n}\left\{p'_{n+1}(z_0)p_n(z_0) - p'_n(z_0)p_{n+1}(z_0)\right\}},$$

and this may be useful to find the asymptotic behaviour of the lower bound.

2.1. **Matrices associated Müntz systems.**

Theorem 4. *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{C}$*, the matrix*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j + \overline{\alpha_k} + 1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n} \tag{2.1}$$

has determinant

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j + \overline{\alpha_k} + 1}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n} = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_k - \alpha_j|^2}{(1 + 2\Re(\alpha_k)) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_k + \overline{\alpha_j} + 1|^2}.$$

Under the condition

$$\alpha_j \neq \alpha_k,\ \Re(\alpha_j) + \Re(\alpha_k) \neq -1, j, k = 0, 1, \dots n,$$

the matrix (2.1) *is invertible, and its inverse matrix* $(\gamma_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}$ *has element*

$$\gamma_{j,k} = \sum_{m=\max(j,k)}^{n} \frac{(1 + 2\Re(\alpha_m)) \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (\overline{\alpha_j} + \alpha_r + 1)(\alpha_k + \overline{\alpha_r} + 1)}{\prod_{\substack{p=0 \\ p \neq j}}^{m-1} (\overline{\alpha_j} - \overline{\alpha_p}) \prod_{\substack{q=0 \\ q \neq k}}^{m-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_q)}.$$

When the matrix (2.1) *is positive definite, its smallest eigenvalue has a lower bound*

$$\lambda_s \geq \left\{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\Re(\alpha_\ell)} \prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |\alpha_j + \overline{\alpha_k} + 1|}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{\ell-1} |\alpha_j - \alpha_k|}\right\}^2\right\}^{-1}.$$

More generalized matrices associated with a generalized Müntz system:

Theorem 5. *Given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and distinct complex numbers $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, for each positive integer n, the matrix*

$$\left(\frac{1}{c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k}-a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k})-b}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n} \tag{2.2}$$

has

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k}-a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k})-b}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n}$$
$$=\frac{(a^2+bc)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left(c|\alpha_k|^2-2a\Re(\alpha_k)-b\right)\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}|\alpha_k-\alpha_j|^2}{\prod_{k=0}^{n}\prod_{j=0}^{k}|c\alpha_k\overline{\alpha_j}-a(\alpha_k+\overline{\alpha_j})-b|^2}.$$

Under the conditions

$$a^2+bc\neq 0, c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k}-a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k})-b\neq 0,\ \alpha_j\neq\alpha_k$$

for $j, k = 0, \ldots, n$, the matrix (2.2) is invertible, and its inverse $(\gamma_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}$ has element

$$\gamma_{j,k}=\sum_{m=\max(j,k)}^{n}\frac{(c|\alpha_m|^2-2a\Re(\alpha_m)-b)\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}(c\alpha_r\overline{\alpha_j}-a(\alpha_r+\overline{\alpha_j})-b)(c\overline{\alpha_r}\alpha_k-a(\overline{\alpha_r}+\alpha_k)-b)}{(a^2+bc)^m\prod_{\substack{p=0\\p\neq j}}^{m-1}(\overline{\alpha_p}-\overline{\alpha_j})\prod_{\substack{q=0\\p\neq k}}^{m-1}(\alpha_q-\alpha_k)}.$$

When the matrix (2.2) is positive definite, its smallest eigenvalue has a lower bound

$$\lambda_s\geq\left\{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}\frac{\sqrt{c|\alpha_\ell|^2-2a\Re(\alpha_\ell)-b}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1}|c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k}-a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k})-b|}{(a^2+bc)^{\ell/2}\prod_{\substack{k=0\\k\neq j}}^{\ell-1}|\alpha_j-\alpha_k|}\right\}^2\right\}^{-1}.$$

2.2. **Matrices Associated with q-Orthogonal polynomials.** Recall that for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q \in (0, 1)$, [2],

$$(a;q)_\infty=\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^m),$$

$$(a;q)_m=\frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^m;q)_\infty},\quad m\in\mathbb{Z},$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array}\right]_q = \frac{(q;q)_m}{(q;q)_j(q;q)_{m-j}}, \quad 0 \le j \le m,$$

and

$$(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; q)_m = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (a_k; q)_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

for $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

For $\nu > -1$, let

$$0 < j_{\nu,1}(q) < j_{\nu,2}(q) < \cdots < j_{\nu,n}(q) < \ldots$$

be the positive zeros of $z^{-\nu} J_\nu^{(2)}(z;q)$, where the Jackson's q-Bessel function $J_\nu^{(2)}(z;q)$ is defined as

$$J_\nu^{(2)}(z;q) := \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(\nu+n)}}{(q,q^{\nu+1};q)_n} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu+2n}.$$

Let us define

$$s_{n,\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_\ell}{j_{\nu,\ell}^{2n}(q)},$$

where

$$w_\ell = \frac{-4J_{\nu+1}^{(2)}\left(j_{\nu,\ell}(q);q\right)}{\partial_z J_\nu^{(2)}\left(z;q\right)} \Big|_{z=j_{\nu,\ell}(q)},$$

we have the following result:

Theorem 6. *For $\nu > -1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrix*

$$(s_{j+k+1,\nu})_{j,k=0}^{n} \tag{2.3}$$

has determinant

$$\det\left(s_{j+k+1,\nu}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n} = \frac{2^{-n(n+1)} q^{n(n+1)(4n+6\nu+5)}}{(q^{\nu+1};q^2)_{n+1} \prod_{m=1}^{n} (q^{\nu+1};q)_{2m}},$$

and its inverse matrix $(\gamma_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}$ has element

$$\gamma_{j,k} = (-4)^{j+k} q^{j(j-\nu)+k(k-\nu)} \sum_{\ell=\max(j,k)}^{n} \left[\begin{array}{c} \ell+j \\ \ell-j \end{array}\right]_q \left[\begin{array}{c} \ell+k \\ \ell-k \end{array}\right]_q$$
$$\times \left\{ \frac{(1-q^{2\ell+\nu+1})}{q^{(2j+2k+1)\ell}} \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\ell+j}}{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\ell-j}} \frac{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\ell+k}}{(q^{\nu+1};q)_{\ell-k}} \right\}.$$

The smallest eigenvalue of the matrix (2.3) *has a lower bound*

$$\lambda_s \ge \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{(1-q^{2\ell+\nu+1}) h_{2\ell,\nu+1}^2(i;q)}{q^{2\ell\nu+\ell(2n+1)}} \right\}^{-1},$$

where

$$h_{2n,\nu}(x;q) = q^{n(n+\nu-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(q^{\nu}, q; q)_{n+k}(-4x^2)^k q^{k(k-2n-\nu+1)}}{(-1)^n (q^{\nu}, q; q)_{n-k}(q;q)_{2k}}.$$

Matrices associated with Askey-Wilson orthogonal polynomials:

Theorem 7. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrix*

$$\left(\frac{(\alpha;q)_{j+k}}{(\alpha\beta;q)_{j+k}} \right)_{j,k=0}^{n} \tag{2.4}$$

has determinant

$$\det\left(\frac{(\alpha;q)_{j+k}}{(\alpha\beta;q)_{j+k}} \right)_{j,k=0}^{n} = \frac{\alpha^{n(n+1)/2} q^{n(n^2-1)/3} \prod_{m=1}^{n} (q,\alpha,\beta;q)_m}{\prod_{m=1}^{n} (\alpha\beta q^{m-1};q)_m (\alpha\beta;q)_{2m}}$$

Under the conditions

$$\alpha \neq 0,\ q \neq 0,\ q, \alpha, \beta \neq q^{-k},\ k = 0, \dots n,$$

the matrix (2.4) *is invertible, and its inverse matrix* $(\gamma_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}$ *has element*

$$\gamma_{j,k} = \frac{(-1)^{j+k} q^{\binom{j+1}{2}+\binom{k+1}{2}}}{(\alpha;q)_j(\alpha;q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (\alpha\beta q^{m-1};q)_j (\alpha\beta q^{m-1};q)_k}{(\alpha q^{j+k})^m (q,\beta;q)_m (\alpha\beta;q)_{2m} (\alpha\beta q^{m-1};q)_m}.$$

When $0 < q, \alpha, \beta < 1$ the matrix (2.4) *is positive definite, its smallest eigenvalue of the matrix has a lower bound*

$$\lambda_s \geq \frac{(\alpha\beta;q)_\infty}{(\alpha;q)_\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{p_\ell^2(-1;\alpha q^{-1}, \beta q^{-1}|q)}{h_\ell(\alpha q^{-1}, \beta q^{-1}|q)} \right\}^{-1},$$

where

$$p_n(x;\alpha q^{-1}, \beta q^{-1}|q) = {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n-1} \\ \alpha \end{matrix} ; q; qx \right)$$

and

$$h_n(\alpha q^{-1}, \beta q^{-1}|q) = \frac{(\alpha\beta;q)_\infty}{(\alpha;q)_\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha\beta q^{-1})(q,\beta;q)_n \alpha^n}{(1-\alpha\beta q^{2n-1})(\alpha, a\beta q^{-1};q)_n}.$$

Remark 8. One could get their classical counterparts for the formulas in Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 by passing the limit $q \to 1^-$ with proper normalizations.

3. Proofs

In this section we prove our results under the same restrictions for the orthogonal polynomials. But the results clearly hold for more general cases since all the expressions involved except the third example are rational functions in their parameters.

3.1. **Proof for Theorem 4.** Given a sequence of distinct complex numbers $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\Re(\alpha_k) > -\frac{1}{2}$, the orthogonal Müntz-Legendre polynomials are defined as [1]

$$L_n(x;\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}x^{\alpha_k},\ c_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(\alpha_k+\overline{\alpha_j}+1)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0\\ j\neq k}}^{n-1}(\alpha_k-\alpha_j)},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$L_0(x;\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n) = x^{\alpha_0}$$

They satisfy the following orthogonal relation

$$\int_0^1 L_n(x;\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n)\overline{L_m(x;\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_m)}dx = \frac{\delta_{m,n}}{1+2\Re(\alpha_n)}$$

for $n, m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. We take

$$u_n(x) = v_n(x) = x^{\alpha_n},$$

and

$$I_{j,k} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k}+1},\ c_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k}.$$

The orthonormal polynomials are given by

$$p_n(x) = \sqrt{1+2\Re(\alpha_n)}L_n(x;\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n).$$

Then,

$$a_{n,k} = b_{n,k} = \frac{\sqrt{1+2\Re(\alpha_n)}\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(\alpha_k+\overline{\alpha_j}+1)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0\\ j\neq k}}^{n-1}(\alpha_k-\alpha_j)}.$$

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

3.2. **Proof for Theorem 5.** Assume that

$$a^2+bc > 0,$$

and

$$c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k} - a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k}) - b > 0,$$

the following orthogonal Müntz polynomials exist, [3]

$$q_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{n,k}x^{\alpha_k},\ A_{n,k}=\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_k-\frac{a\overline{\alpha_j}+b}{c\overline{\alpha_j}-a}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0\\ j\neq k}}^{n-1}(\alpha_k-\alpha_j)}.$$

They satisfy the orthogonal relation

$$(q_n(x),q_m(x))_*=h_n\delta_{m,n},$$

where

$$h_n=\frac{(a^2+bc)^n}{(c|\alpha_n|^2-2a\Re(\alpha_n)-b)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}|c\alpha_j-a|^2}.$$

Take

$$u_n(x)=v_n(x)=x^{\alpha_n},\ c_{j,k}=\delta_{j,k}.$$

From [3] we have

$$I_{j,k}=\frac{1}{c\alpha_j\overline{\alpha_k}-a(\alpha_j+\overline{\alpha_k})-b}.$$

The orthonormal polynomials are

$$p_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k}x^{\alpha_k},$$

where

$$a_{n,k}=b_{n,k}=\frac{A_{n,k}}{\sqrt{h_n}}.$$

Then, Theorem 5 follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

3.3. **Proof for Theorem 6.** The even q-Lommel polynomials satisfy the orthogonal relation [2]

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} h_{2n,\nu+1}\left(\frac{1}{j_{\nu,\ell}(q)};q\right)h_{2m,\nu+1}\left(\frac{1}{j_{\nu,\ell}(q)};q\right)\frac{w_\ell}{j_{\nu,\ell}^2(q)}=\frac{q^{2n\nu+n(2n+1)}\delta_{m,n}}{1-q^{2n+\nu+1}}.$$

Let

$$u_j=v_j=x^{2j},$$

then

$$I_{j,k}=s_{j+k+1,\nu},\quad c_{j,k}=\delta_{j,k}.$$

The orthonormal polynomials are given by

$$p_n(x)=\frac{\sqrt{1-q^{2n+\nu+1}}}{q^{n\nu+n(n+1/2)}}h_{2n,\nu+1}(x;q).$$

hence

$$a_{n,k} = b_{n,k} = \frac{\sqrt{1-q^{2n+\nu+1}}(q^{\nu+1}, q; q)_{n+k} 4^k q^{k^2-k(2n+\nu)}}{(-1)^{n-k}(q^{\nu+1}, q; q)_{n-k}(q; q)_{2k} q^{n/2}}.$$

Then, Theorem 6 follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

3.4. **Proof for Theorem 7.** We present two proofs to this example. Our first proof uses the Askey-Wilson polynomials, while the second uses the little q-Jacobi polynomials. For some mysterious reasons, these polynomials yield essentially the same matrices.

For each nonnegative integer n, the Askey-Wilson polynomial has the following series representation

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(x; t|q) &= t_1^{-n}(t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_1t_4; q)_n \\ &\times {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{n-1}, t_1e^{i\theta}, t_1e^{-i\theta} \\ t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_1t_4 \end{array} |q, q \right), \quad x = \cos\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where the basic hypergeometric function ${}_r\phi_s$ with complex parameters $a_1, ..., a_r; b_1, ..., b_s$ is formally defined as, [2]

$${}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, ..., a_r \\ b_1, ..., b_s \end{array} ; q, z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, ..., a_r; q)_n z^n}{(q, b_1, ..., b_s; q)_n} \left((-1)^n q^{(n-1)n/2} \right)^{s+1-r}.$$

It is well known that $a_n(x; t|q)$ is symmetric in the real parameters t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4. Under the condition $\max\{|t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3|, |t_4|\} < 1$, the Askey-Wilson polynomials satisfy the following orthogonal relation

$$\int_{-1}^{1} a_m(x; t|q) a_n(x; t|q) w(x; t|q) dx = h_n \delta_{m,n},$$

where

$$h_n = \frac{2\pi(t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{2n}; q)_\infty (t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{n-1}; q)_n}{(q^{n+1}; q)_\infty \prod\limits_{1\le j<k\le 4} (t_jt_kq^n; q)_\infty}$$

and

$$w(x; t|q) = \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{4} (t_je^{i\theta}, t_je^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad x = \cos\theta.$$

Let

$$u_j(x) = (t_1e^{i\theta}, t_1e^{-i\theta}; q)_j, \quad v_j(x) = (t_2e^{i\theta}, t_2e^{-i\theta}; q)_j,$$

it is known that [2]

$$\frac{(be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(ab, b/a; q)_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(q^{-n}, ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta})_k q^k}{(q, ab, q^{1-n}a/b; q)_k},$$

for $a \cdot b \neq 0$, hence,

$$c_{n,k} = \left[\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q (t_1t_2q^k; q)_{n-k} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}; q \right)_{n-k} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^k.$$

and

$$I_{j,k} = \int_{-1}^{1} w(x; t_1 q^j, t_2 q^k, t_3, t_4; q) dx,$$

or

$$I_{j,k} = \frac{2\pi (t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{j+k}; q)_\infty}{(q, t_1t_2 q^{j+k}, t_1t_3 q^j, t_1t_4 q^j, t_2t_3 q^k, t_2t_4 q^k, t_3t_4; q)_\infty}.$$

The orthonormal polynomials are

$$p_j(x) = \frac{a_j(x; t|q)}{\sqrt{h_j}},$$

with

$$a_{n,k} = \frac{(t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_1t_4; q)_n (q^{-n}, t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{n-1}; q)_k q^k}{(t_1)^n \sqrt{h_n} (q, t_1t_2, t_1t_3, t_1t_4; q)_k},$$

and

$$b_{n,k} = \frac{(t_2t_1, t_2t_3, t_2t_4; q)_n (q^{-n}, t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{n-1}; q)_k q^k}{(t_2)^n \sqrt{h_n} (q, t_2t_1, t_2t_3, t_2t_4; q)_k}.$$

Then

$$\det H_n = \prod_{m=0}^{n} \frac{2\pi \left(t_1t_2 q^{m-1}\right)^m (t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{2m}; q)_\infty}{(t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{m-1}; q)_m (q^{m+1}, q)_\infty \prod\limits_{1\le j<k\le 4} (t_jt_k q^m; q)_\infty},$$

which could be simplified to

$$\det\left(\frac{(t_1t_2; q)_{j+k}}{(t_1t_2t_3t_4; q)_{j+k}}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n} = \prod_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\left(t_1t_2 q^{m-1}\right)^m (q, t_1t_2, t_3t_4; q)_m}{(t_1t_2t_3t_4; q)_{2m} (t_1t_2t_3t_4 q^{m-1}; q)_m}. \tag{3.1}$$

For any $n = 0, 1, ...,$ let $e, c_0, c_1, ..., c_n, d_0, d_1, ..., d_n$ be non-zero numbers and,

$$X = (x_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{n}, \ Y = (y_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{n}, \ I = (\delta_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}.$$

If

$$XY = I,$$

then,

$$\widetilde{X}\widetilde{Y} = I,$$

where

$$\widetilde{X} = (e x_{jk} c_j d_k)_{j,k=0}^{n},$$

and

$$\widetilde{Y} = \left(\frac{y_{jk}}{e d_j c_k}\right)_{j,k=0}^{n}.$$

Using the above trick, we simplify the inverse pairs down to

$$\left(\frac{(t_1t_2;q)_{j+k}}{(t_1t_2t_3t_4;q)_{j+k}} \right)_{j,k=0}^{n}$$

and its inverse matrix $(\omega_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^{n}$ with element

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{j,k} = \frac{(-1)^{j+k} q^{\binom{j+1}{2}+\binom{k+1}{2}}}{(t_1t_2;q)_j (t_1t_2;q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q}{(q, t_3t_4;q)_m} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{(t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{m-1};q)_j (t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{m-1};q)_k}{\left(t_1t_2q^{j+k}\right)^m (t_1t_2t_3t_4;q)_{2m} (t_1t_2t_3t_4q^{m-1};q)_m} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Except the lower bound, the assertions of Theorem 7 follows from (3.1) and (3.2) by the change of variables,

$$\alpha = t_1t_2, \quad \beta = t_3t_4.$$

Assume that

$$p_{-1}(x;a,b|q) = 0, \quad p_0(x;a,b|q) = 1,$$

the little q-Jacobi polynomials $\{p_n(x;a,b|q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ have the orthogonal relation [2]

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(bq;q)_k (aq)^k}{(q;q)_k} p_m(q^k;a,b|q) p_n(q^k;a,b|q) = h_n(a,b|q)\delta_{mn}$$

for $m, n \geq 0$ The moments are given by the formula

$$\mu_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(bq;q)_m (aq)^m q^{nm}}{(q;q)_m},$$

or

$$\mu_n = \frac{(abq^{n+2};q)_\infty}{(aq^{n+1};q)_\infty},$$

by using the q-binomial theorem [2]. The orthonormal polynomial

$$p_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n p_n(x;aq,bq|q)}{\sqrt{h_n(a,b|q)}}.$$

Hence,

$$a_{n,k} = b_{n,k} = \frac{(-1)^n (q^{-n}, abq^{n+1};q)_k q^k}{\sqrt{h_n(a,b|q)}(q,aq;q)_k}.$$

Theorem 7 follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 with a change of variables

$$\alpha = aq, \quad \beta = bq.$$

Acknowledgement. This work is partially supported by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation grant No.10761002, Guangxi Natural Science Foundation grant No.0728090.

References

[1] Peter Borwein, Tamás Erdélyi and John Zhang, Müntz systems and orthogonal Müntz-Legendre polynomials, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 342, Number 2, April 1994. Pages 523-542.

[2] Mourad E. H. Ismail, *Continuous and Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[3] S. D. Marinković, B. Danković, M. S. Stanković and P. M. Rajković, Orthogonality of some sequences of the rational functions and the Müntz polynomials, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Volume 163, Issue 2, 15 February 2004, Pages 419-427.

Current address: School of Mathematical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin City, Guangxi 541004, P. R. China.

E-mail address: `ruimingzhang@yahoo.com`